

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

NETLIST, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.  
and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Case No. 2:21-cv-00463-JRG

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**THE SAMSUNG DEFENDANTS' NOTICE REGARDING CO-PENDING ACTION**

Samsung provides notice to the Court regarding a co-pending action in the District of Delaware involving Plaintiff Netlist and Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. regarding the Patents-In Suit. *See Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al. v. Netlist, Inc.*, Case No. 1:21-cv-01453 (D. Del.). On October 15, 2021, Samsung filed a declaratory judgment action alleging its memory modules did not infringe a number of Netlist patents not asserted here - U.S. Patent Nos. 10,217,523, 10,474,595, 9,858,218, and 7,619,912. *See* Dkt. 1. On January 18, 2022, Samsung filed an amended complaint further alleging that its memory modules did not infringe the Patents-In-Suit in this action - U.S. Patent Nos. 10,860,506 (the ““506 patent”), 10,949,339 (the ““339 patent”), and 11,016,918 (the ““918 patent”) - alleging that the “claims in the Texas Infringement Action overlap substantially with the claims asserted in the original complaint in the present action” and that “all of the patents relate to the same area of technology—computer memory modules . . .” Dkt. 14 at ¶ 50. Pending before the court in the District of Delaware is Netlist’s motion to dismiss the first amended complaint. *See* Dkt. 24

(Netlist's Motion to Dismiss). Samsung opposes that motion, alleging that "Counts V–VII and XI, involving the '506, '339, and '918 patents, are properly part of this case, which was filed two months before the Texas Action" and that "the claims should proceed in Delaware under the first-to-file rule" because the '506, '339, and '918 patents are "first filed under a relation back analysis." Dkt. 27 (Samsung's Answering Brief) at 12.

We will keep the Court apprised of any developments in the Delaware action that may impact this matter.

Date: April 13, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael J. McKeon

Ruffin B. Cordell  
TX Bar No. 04820550  
cordell@fr.com  
Michael J. McKeon  
D.C. Bar No. 459780  
mckeon@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
1000 Maine Avenue, S.W., Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20024  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

Katherine Reardon  
NY Bar No. 5196910  
kreardon@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
1180 Peachtree St., NE, 21st Floor  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
Telephone: (404) 892-5005  
Facsimile: (404) 892-5002

Francis J. Albert  
CA Bar No. 247741  
albert@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
12860 El Camino Real, Ste. 400  
San Diego, CA 92130  
Telephone: (858) 678-5070  
Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

Tony Nguyen  
TX Bar No. 24083565  
nguyen@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
1221 McKinney Street, Ste. 2800  
Houston, TX 77010  
Telephone: (713) 654-5300  
Facsimile: (713) 652-0109

Melissa Richards Smith  
melissa@gillamsmith.com  
GILLAM & SMITH, LLP  
303 South Washington Ave.  
Marshall, Texas 75670  
Telephone: (903) 934-8450  
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

*Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5 on April 13, 2022. As of this date, all counsel of record have consented to electronic service and are being served with a copy of this document through the Court's CM/ECF system under Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

*/s/ Michael J. McKeon* \_\_\_\_\_

Michael J. McKeon